

CRIGINA Y

Arizona Corporation Commission

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
2	CARL J. KUNASEK Chairman JIM IRVIN	DEC 18 A 9 34
4	Commissioner DOCKETED BY	CORF COMMISSION CUMENT CONTROL
5	Commissioner	0236
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF) DOCKET NO. T-03258A-00-0325
7	VERIZON SELECT SERVICES, INC. F/K/A GTE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION FOR A) }
8	COMPETITIVE CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AUTHORIZING)
9	INTER-EXCHANGE FACILITIES-BASED SERVICES AND THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A))
10))
11	THE STATE OF ARIZONA))
12	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF VERIZON SELECT SERVICES, INC. F/K/A GTE) DOCKET NO. T-03258A-97-0568)
13	COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION FOR AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AS A PROVIDER OF)
14	ALTERNATIVE OPERATOR SERVICES STATEWIDE IN ARIZONA	
15	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)) DOCKET NO. T-03258A-97-0545
16	VERIZON SELECT SERVICES, INC. F/K/A GTE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION FOR A	
17	COMPETITIVE CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AUTHORIZING	
18	LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES VIA RESALE AND	
10	A COMPETITIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE	
20	THE CURRENT LOCAL EXCHANGE OPERATING AREAS IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA OF US WEST))
	COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; AND CITIZENS)
21	UTILITIES COMPANY AND AFFILIATES PLETHE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)) > DOCKETNO T 02250 4 04 0402
22	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF VERIZON SELECT SERVICES, INC. F/K/A GTE) DOCKET NO. T-03258A-96-0492
23	COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND))
24	NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES))
25	AS A RESELLER	
26	STAFF'S FAIR VALUE RATE BASI	
27	On November 17, 2000, Verizon Select Services, Inc	c. ("Verizon") filed a response to the

October 31, 2000 Procedural Order's requirement that Verizon file Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB")

information in support of its application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N). Verizon is currently providing service in Arizona. The October 5, 2000 Procedural Order ordered the Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") to file disagreements with the proposed FVRB and/or rates and charges within 60 days of the date of the Procedural Order. Staff hereby files its disagreements with Verizon's November 17, 2000 filing.

Staff's Substantive Comments.

Verizon's response to the ordered FVRB information provides insufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding in this case. At a minimum, Staff requires the following three items of information of Verizon in order to make a FVRB recommendation. First, a dollar figure representing Verizon's rate base is necessary for a FVRB analysis. This dollar figure should include all assets used to provide the proposed telecommunications services to its Arizona customers for the first twelve months of service, and can include office space, office equipment, company vehicles, and other like items. Second, a FVRB analysis requires that Verizon provide its maximum revenues received in exchange for providing the proposed telecommunications services to its Arizona customers for the first twelve months of service, assuming the maximum rates as filed in the application. Third, a FVRB analysis requires that Verizon provide its maximum expenses incurred in providing the proposed telecommunications services to its Arizona customers for the first twelve months of service, assuming the maximum rates as filed in the application.

The October 31, 2000 Procedural Order referenced the Opinion of the Arizona Court of Appeals, Division One in Cause No. 1 CA-CV 98-0672 ("Opinion"). Since the issuance of that Opinion and the Procedural Order, several parties to that case have filed petitions for review of the Opinion to the Arizona Supreme Court, including the Arizona Corporation Commission, Electric Lightwaye, Inc., AT&T, Sprint Communications, MFS Intelnet, and Cox Arizona Telcom.

Staff's Procedural Comments.

Staff believes that in light of the current appeal status of the Opinion, Verizon should have

¹ The October 31, 2000 Procedural Order also ordered Staff to review the FVRB information filed and ascertain that Verizon is utilizing the appropriate amount of depreciation and capital carrying costs in determining its total service long-run incremental costs. The information filed by Verizon on November 17, 2000 was not sufficient to allow Staff to so ascertain.

the choice of the following two procedural options in proceeding with its CC&N application.

Alternative #1:

Staff recommends that if Verizon wishes to have permanent rates set in this proceeding, that it be ordered to file the three above-described FVRB information items within 30 days of the date of any Commission order granting the requested CC&N. If there are any disagreements with any FVRB information Verizon files, the Order granting Verizon's CC&N should be stayed pending resolution of those disagreements.

Alternative #2:

If Verizon desires to proceed with its CC&N application without providing FVRB information at this time, Staff believes that any tariffs filed in this matter should be reviewed and approved on an interim basis. If a CC&N is conditionally granted and tariffs are authorized on an interim basis, Verizon should be required to file the three FVRB items, based on actual information, if available, with the Commission within thirty days of any final court mandate on the Fair Value requirement, and failure to file the information should result in the expiration of the conditional CC&N as well as expiration of any approval to charge its tariffs on an interim basis. If there are any disagreements with any FVRB information Verizon files, the Order granting Verizon's CC&N should be stayed pending resolution of those disagreements.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of December, 2000.

By: Yena W

Arizona Corporation Commission

Attorney, Legal Division

1200 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-3402

The original and fifteen copies of the foregoing filed this 18 day of December, 2000, with:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	Copy of the foregoing was mailed this 18 th day of December, 2000 to:
2	
3	Paul M. Fuglie Verizon Select Services, Inc.
4	Regulatory Affairs Department, HQK02073 6665 North MacArthur Blvd.
5	Irving, Texas 75039
6	Timothy Berg Fennemore Craig
7	3003 North Central Avenue Suite 2600
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Qwest Corporation
9	Thomas Dethlefs, Esq. Qwest Law Department
10	1801 California Street
11	Suite 5100 Denver, Colorado 80202
12	2- 2.00.
13	Janver
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	